

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,024	03/25/2004	Keith Hann	31631.000016	7818
23387	7590 . 02/16/2005		EXAMINER	
Stephen B.	Salai, Esq.		PUROL, D	OAVID M
	est & Emery LLP & Lomb Place		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14604-2711			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
P	Office Action Summary	10/809,024	HANN, KEITH	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
. <u>-</u>		David M Purol	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutinely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	<u>flarch 2004</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National Stage	
. 4	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the centried copies not receive	ea.	

Attachment(s)

ıΝ	X	Notice of References Cited	(PTO-892)
----	---	----------------------------	-----------

2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06102004</u>.

4) 🗀	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,024

Art Unit: 3634

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roark in view of Drozt. Roark discloses a self-mounting automobile window screen comprising a flexible mesh screen 12 secured to retaining frames 16,14. The upper and side portions of the retaining frames 16,14 respond to the claimed flexible mounting tube and the bottom portion of the retaining frames 16,14 including the sleeve walls 22 responds to the claimed anchoring base. While Roark does not disclose the use of a lifting means, Drozt discloses a self-mounting automobile window screen comprising a lifting means 34, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the self-mounting automobile window screen of Roark for the purpose of facilitating the handling and mounting of the self-mounting automobile window screen would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The type of material from which the self-mounting automobile window screen is constructed from is considered a mere matter of design preference.

2. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Smith, Fisher, Puffer, Galla, Cole.

Art Unit: 3634

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M Purol at telephone number 703/308-2168.

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (703) 308-2168 February 14, 2005